



KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LV വാല്യം 55	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	15th June 2010 2010 ജൂൺ 15 25th Jyaishta 1932 1932 ജ്യേഷ്ഠം 25	No. നമ്പർ	24
----------------------	---	---	--------------	----

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 721/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th April 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. Shri Kolassery Ubaid, Classic Rubbers, Mannarkkad, Chungham, Kumaramputhoor P. O., Mannarkkad, Palakkad, 2. Shri K. V. K. Santhosh Kumar, M. R. Rubbers, Kodathippadi, Mannarkkad, Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment Shri Mohammadali, Kolassery Veedu, Vattambalam P. O., Kumaramputhoor, Mannarkkad, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri Mohammadali, Worker by the employers, Shri Kolassery Ubaid, Classic Rubbers, Chungham, Mannarkkad and Shri K. V. K. Santhosh Kumar, M. R. Rubbers, Kodathippadi, Mannarkkad is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 722/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th April 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Pallikkal Co-operative Bank Limited, Pallikkal, Malappuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri M. Mohandas, Puthiyan Kolil House, Karadu

Paramba P. O., Farokh College P. O., Malappuram-673 632 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri S. M. Mohandas, Junior Clerk by the management of Pallikkal Co-operative Bank Limited, Pallikkal, Malappuram is justifiable ? 2. If not, what are the benefits he is entitled to ?

(3)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 723/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th April 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Managing Director, MIL Controls Limited, Meladoor P. O., Mala, Thrissur-680 741, 2. The Manager, Southappa Security and Mantee Services Private Limited, Poonithura P. O., Ernakulam, Kochi-682 038 and the workmen of the above referred establishment Shri M. S. Sujeesh, Krishnabhadra, Guruthippala, Analloor P. O., Ashtamichira-680 731 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- Whether the denial of employment to Shri M. S. Sujeesh, Contract Worker, employed in MIL Controls Limited, Meladoor by the employer of MIL Controls Limited is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to get ?

(4)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 724/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th April 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri T.C.V. Dinesh Kumar, Proprietor, Lorry No. KL 59-9482, Thekkekara Choovatta Valiya Veettil, Annoor P. O., Payyannur, Kannur District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kannur District Lorry Drivers and Cleaners Association (CITU), Kannur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- Whether the denial of employment to Shri P. P. Suresh, Driver, Lorry No. KL 59-9482 by the management is justifiable or not ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(5)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 725/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th April 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Manager/ Partner, Elambilori Estate, Cardamom Plantations, Meppadi P. O., Wayanad and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kerala State Estate Plantation Workers Union (TUCI), District Committee, Kalpetta, Wayanad, 2. Smt. Cholayammal, Elambilori Estate, Meppadi in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Cholayammal, Worker, Elambilori Estate, Cardamom Plantations, Meppadi by the management is justifiable or not? If not what relief she is entitled to do?

(6)

G O. (Rt.) No. 729/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th April 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Letchmi Estate, Munnar and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Workers Congress, Munnar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of confirmation in service to Shri K. Gurusamy, P. F. No. 5216, Nagarmudi Division, Letchmi Estate, Munnar by the Management is justifiable or not?
2. If not what relief he is entitled to?

(7)

G O. (Rt.) No. 731/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 20th April 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Saralabhai Amma, (Licensee and Proprietrix), S. M. P. Palace, P. B. No. 181, Kollam-691 001 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Quilon Shops and Establishment Employees Union (INTUC), Thillery, Mundakkal West, Kollam-691 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri R. Radhakrishnan, Chief Operator, S. M. P. Palace, Kollam by the management is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(8)

G O. (Rt.) No. 780/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 26th April 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Chenduvurrai Estate, K. D. H. P. Company Limited, Munnar and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estate Workers Union (AITUC), Munnar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Y. Rajendran, PF No. 7448, Worker, Chenduvurrai Estate, Munnar by the management is justifiable or not?
2. If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(9)

G O. (Rt.) No. 794/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. M/s. Mini Muthoot Nidhi (Kerala) Limited, Mini Muthoot Towers, Kaloork, Kochi-17, 2. The Managing Director, M/s. Mini Muthoot Nidhi (Kerala) Limited, Mini Muthoot Towers, Kaloork, Kochi-17 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Ramesh, P., 35/2295, Pipeline Road, Palarivattom, Kochi-682 025 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the termination of service of Shri P. Ramesh, Assistant Manager, M/s. Mini Muthoot Nidhi (Kerala) Limited, Kaloore by the management is legal and justifiable or not ?
2. If not what relief they are entitled to get ?

(10)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 795/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri R. Prakash, Proprietor, Prakash Cashew Exporters, Kalluvathukkal P. O., Kollam and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kerala State Kashuvandi Vyavasaya Mazdoor Sangh, Mazdoor Bhavan, Thamarakulam, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether workmen viz. S. Satheesan, Gopalakrishna Pillai, Murukan, Bhasi, Muraleedhara Kurup and Sivan of Prakash Cashew Exporters, Kalluvathukkal are eligible for permanency as monthly rated staff in their respective category ? If so from which date and the benefits entitled to them from the management ?

(11)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 796/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. The Managing Director, Kerala Kaumudi (P) Limited, Kerala Kaumudi Building, Petta, Thiruvananthapuram, 2. M/s. Ravi Printers & Publishing (P) Limited, Kaumudi Building, Petta, Thiruvananthapuram and the workmen of the above referred establishment Shri D. Ravikumar, Sreekrishna Vilasom Bungalow, Vallikkunnu, Attingal, Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri D. Ravikumar, Sales Officer, Kerala Kaumudi (P) Limited by the management is justifiable ? If not what relief the worker is entitled to ?

(12)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 797/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Chenduvurai Estate, K. D. H. P. Company, Munnar, Idukki District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Devikulam Estate Workers Union (AITUC), Munnar, Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Anthoniamma, PF No. 10451, Worker, Ellappetty, West Division, Chunduvairai Estate, Munnar by the management is justifiable or not ?
2. If not, what relief she is entitled to ?

(13)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 798/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Sree Narayana Guru Samskarika Samithi, Reg. No. Q. 88/81, Alumkadavu P. O., Karunagapally and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Mary Fernandez, Mary Villa, Alumkadavu P. O., Karunagapally in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Mary Fernandez, worker of SN Nursery School, Alumkadavu with effect from 2-5-2009 is justifiable or not? If not what relief the worker is entitled to get?

(14)

G . O. (Rt.) No. 799/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd May 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Letchmi Estate, Munnar and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Workers Congress Union, Munnar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of claim for light work considering health condition of Smt. Pakiaselvam, PF No. 5570, Plucker, New Munnar Sevenmally Division, Letchmi Estate, Munnar by the management is justifiable or not?
2. If not what relief she is entitled to get?

By order of the Governor,

RACHEL VARGHESE,
Under Secretary to Government.